#### GLIF @ OGF 25: GOLE philosophy revisited

## Cees de Laat

GLIF.is founding member

## SURREACE EU BSIK NWO University of Amsterdam



– They pay this trip 😊



GLIF Map 2008: Global Lambda Integrated Facility Visualization by Robert Patterson, NCSA, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Data Compliation by Maxine D. Brown, University of Illinois at Chicago Earth Texture, visibleaarth.nasa.gov







Visualization courtesy of Bob Patterson, NCSA Data collection by Maxine Brown.







#### Towards Hybrid Networking!

- Costs of photonic equipment 10% of switching 10% of full routing
  - for same throughput!
  - Photonic vs Optical (optical used for SONET, etc, 10-50 k\$/port)
  - DWDM lasers for long reach expensive, 10-50 k\$
- Bottom line: look for a hybrid architecture which serves all classes in a cost effective way
  - map A -> L3 , B -> L2 , C -> L1 and L2
- Give each packet in the network the service it needs, but no more !

#### L1 2-3 k\$/port 0.5 W/port



L2 5-8 k\$/port

L3 . 75+ k\$/port 250 W/port





#### How low can you go?



#### Function of a GOLE

- Enable traffic exchange among peers
- Adapt data/traffic formats when necessary
- AND NOTHING MORE!

Why?

• Economy of scale





Freek Dijkstra, Cees de Laat, "Optical Exchanges", GRIDNETS conference proceedings, oct 2004



#### Service Matrix

To From	WDM (multiple $\lambda$ )	Single λ, any bitstream	SONET/ SDH	1 Gb/s Ethernet	LAN PHY Ethernet	WAN PHY Ethernet	VLAN tagged Ethernet	IP over Ethernet
WDM (multiple λ)	cross-connect multicast, regenerate, multicast	WDM demux	WDM demux*	WDM demux *	WDM demux *	WDM demux *	WDM demux *	WDM demux *
Single λ, any bitstream	WDM mux	cross-connect multicast, regenerate, multicast	N/A *	N/A *	N/A *	N/A *	N/A *	N/A *
SONET/SDH	WDM mux	N/A *	SONET switch, +	TDM demux *	TDM demux <sup>6</sup>	SONET switch	TDM demux *	TDM demux *
1 Gb/s Ethernet	WDM mux	N/A *	TDM mux	aggregate, Ethernet conversion +	aggregate, eth. convert	aggregate, Ethernet conversion	aggregate, VLAN encap	L3 entry *
LAN PHY Ethernet	WDM mux	N/A*	TDM mux <sup>6</sup>	aggregate, Ethernet conversion	aggregate, Ethernet conversion +	Ethernet conversion	aggregate, VLAN encap	L3 entry *
WAN PHY Ethernet	WDM mux	N/A *	SONET switch	aggregate, Ethernet conversion	Ethernet conversion	aggregate, Ethernet conversion +	aggregate, VLAN encap	L3 entry *
VLAN tagged Ethernet	WDM mux	N/A *	TDM mux	aggregate, VLAN decap	aggregate, VLAN decap	aggregate, VLAN decap	Aggregate, VLAN decap & encap +	N/A
IP over Ethernet	WDM mux	N/A *	TDM mux	L3 exit *	L3 exit *	L3 exit *	N/A	Store & forward, L3 entry/exit+

# What can we learn from Internet Exchanges?

- Example: Amsterdam Internet Exchange
  - Basically a big Ethernet switch
  - L2 functionality
  - Peers do their BGP thing
  - Do not need to inform AMS-IX when setting up new peering arrangement (works for packet world, automatic mac learning)
- Exchange is agnostic to what is exchanged
- Exchange works on a need to know basis



### What does that mean for GOLE's ?

- Open: do not introduce any other policy than device (or technical) policy
- Act on requests that are ack'ed by both peers, nothing else
- Keep in mind carefully on what attributes or labels you do the connection or forwarding!
- Work on need to know basis.



#### Consequences for signaling



#### Consequences for signaling

#### Chain:





