

GNI API Task Force

Evangelos Chaniotakis Network Engineering Group

Energy Sciences Network Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

GLIF meeting Daejeon, Oct 27 2009

Networking for the Future of Science







- Objectives:
 - Bring network resource management developers together,
 - Develop a software framework that facilitates translating between NRM interfaces,
 - Develop a common interface prototype,
 - Develop a reference implementation of the common interface,
 - Use it to test interoperability between NRMs,
 - Learn from tests and continue improving the interface,
 - Bring feedback from this work into other working groups (OGF NSI, NML), and back into each separate project.
- Non-Objectives:
 - To become a standard.
 - To get everything 100% correct.
- Participants:
 - Representatives from G-lambda, IDC, Harmony, KISTI, NCSU

- Agenda bashing
- Task Force progress
- Planning: Collaborations and growth
- Planning: Deployment and demos
- Planning: Topology exchange & Pathfinding
- Planning: Security
- Demo overview

- Agenda bashing part 2
- Fenius live demo & review
- Fenius framework discussion
 - Toolchain
 - Architecture
 - Implementation
 - Lessons learned
 - Open issues
- Planning part 2
- Conclusions

- New members:
 - KISTI with dynamicKL
 - NCSU team worked on new interface specs
- New external interface drafted
- New internal interface drafted
- Fenius framework replaced GUSI, complete rewrite
- Implemented translators for IDC, dynamicKL, Harmony, G-lambda
 - Learned a lot from the process
- AIST implemented web UI, adapted existing graphical monitoring client

- Collaborate
 - Provide feedback to NSI
 - Provide framework to rapidly test out potential NSI interface
 - Use NML for topology exchange
- Grow
 - Invite AutoBAHN and others into the task force
 - Align Fenius and Harmony
- Develop
 - Continue work on Fenius
 - Improve internal and external interfaces
 - Add security layer
 - Add topology exchange features
- Deploy
 - Deploy improved Fenius instances on real networks and GOLEs

- Provide feedback to NSI
 Write up lessons learned
- Implement & test NSI interface proposal
- Work with NML & PerfSONAR for topology exchange
- Provide Fenius framework to community
 Produce developer guide document
- Harmony / Fenius collaboration
- Invite AutoBAHN, DRAC, others into TF

- Freeform discussion on:
 - Requirements
 - Identity and authentication
 - Attributes and authorization
 - Standards and toolchains
- Some notes from Vangelis
 - Separate translation layer breaks some security models i.e. signed messages.
 - Do we need only username & password?

- Freeform discussion on:
 - Requirements
 - Topology size / update frequency
 - Schemas
 - Deploy new service or use PerfSONAR topology server?
 - Agree that pathfinding is NOT in scope?

Planning: GOLEs, deployment and demos

- Fenius is NOT ready for production use.
 But can be used for demos
- Aim for improved version by next GLIF conference.
- Locate GOLEs that want to run Fenius
- How / who will support Fenius in production?
- Demo discussion

Demo overview: Simulated multidomain network



Demo overview: Simulated multidomain network





Demo overview: Fenius translation layer details



Demo overview: End-to-end request



Acknowledgements and special thanks

Fenius – G-lambda

Tomohiro Kudoh, AIST
Fumihiro Okazaki, AIST
Ryousei Takano, AIST
Atsuko Takefusa, AIST
Seiya Yanagita, AIST

Fenius – DynamicKL

- Woojin Seok, KISTI
- Jonguk Kong, KISTI
- Huhnkuk Lim, KISTI
- Jiyun Jang, KAIST
- Young Wook Cha, ANU
- Chang Shou Han, ANU

Fenius – Harmony

- Mathieu Lemay, Inocybe
- Joan Antonio Garcia Espin, i2cat
- Jordi Ferrer Riera, i2cat
- Samir Hadjout, Synchromedia

Interface Specifications

- Gigi Karmous-Edwards, NCSU
- Mohit Chamania, NCSU
- Mohan lyer
- Emre Yetginer, NCSU
- George Rousskas, NCSU