

Phosphorus and DICE IDC: Multi-domain Project approaches

Bram Peeters, Surfnet Inder Monga, Nortel





Multi-domain – Brief History



- finesse the control of bandwidth across multiple domains
- while exploiting scalability and intra-, inter-domain fault recovery
- thru layering of a novel SOA upon legacy control planes and NEs



Today

SURF

- Many intra-domain implementations
 - DRAC, UCLPv2, DRAGON, AutoBAHN, VIOLA..
- Few multi-domain projects
 - Phosphorus, Oscars/DICE IDC, AutoBAHN IDM
- Focus of the talk today
 - Brief overview of Phosphorus and DICE IDC
 - Raise discussion points

Phosphorus Aim and Drivers

- Create transparent *network service for middleware*
- WP1 focus: Integrate existing heterogeneous 'domain controllers'
 - Aim is to provision circuits seamlessly across several domains
 - Controllers in the project: UCLP, Viola/ARGON, DRAC
 - Assumed to minimally provide WS-based (circuit) scheduling and topology capabilities
- Work towards prototype within first year of project
 - Quick integration => KISS, and make it work
 - Chosen to work with 'multiple centralized intelligence' approach
 - Domain controller has all the domain knowledge, NSP is just a client...
 - Broker principle!!!
 - Centralized intelligence in a single NSP removes a lot of problems



Terminology



- NRPS = Network Resource Provisioning System
 - Local domain controller providing the service on a single domain
- NSP = Network Service Plane
 - Global broker creating the E2E service using the different NRPS

Architecture :: overview



Bram Peeters, Inder Monga - 6

architecture :: framework flow –



Bram Peeters, Inder Monga - 7

architecture :: reservation flow



Architecture overview: reservation interfaces



No extension of reservation capabilities of single domain

- Basic function: reserve between two endpoints on a domain (Client or Border – or "UNI, ENNI")
- Pre-reserve + commit
- Cancel
- Notifications
- Scheduling, channelisation, and technology stitching coordinated by NSP, but works on info from NRPSes
 - Remember NSP is only 'a' broker

Architecture overview: topology interfaces

- Learn "topology" of a domain
 - Topology = List of endpoints with links between them => abstracted to Single-Node-Domain or full mesh links
 - Retains possibility of more complex topologies
- Links between domains administrated per domain
 - Information checked for consistency by NSP
 - Knowledge is local anyway, all technologies 'covered' for discovery
 - Need to agree global 'cost'?

• This topology information can be given out to multiple NSPs



DICE Topology



SURF

Domain

Topology Hierarchy

- Node
- Port
- Link
- Port and link capabilities as well as available capacity can be specified as well
- RemoteLink descriptors require manual configuration during inter-domain startup
- Accounts for both push and pull models
 - First implementation supports the simpler pull model

DICE IDC Overview: Resource Scheduling example



SUR

NET

DICE IDC Overview Resource Signaling Example



SUR

NET

Implementing DICE on DRAC



-queryReservationOccurrenceAlarms

•Topology: IDC

- getNetworkTopology

DICE IDC

- initiateTopologyPull

•Reservation: User

- createReservation
- cancelReservation

•Signaling: User

- createPath
- refreshPath
- teardownPath
- •Relay on reservation and signaling: IDC -forward

•Monitoring: User -queryReservation -listReservations

Comparing trajectories: Raising GLIF Discussion points

- Architectural model
 - Centralized vs Distributed
 - Hybrid?
- Topology exchange
 - Most challenging, define the scope
- Path Computation
 - First domain vs Hop by Hop
 - Availability/Utilization
- Signaling
 - WS versus protocols
- Path Management
 - Refresh Path State

Bram Peeters, Inder Monga - 15



Architectural Model



- Centralized/Tree model more suited towards co-scheduling of multiple resource types (multiple point to points)
 - Applications like Grid-computing
 - "Optimization" with longer term views possible
- Distributed suited for point to point dynamic services
- Both models support the flexibility though initially
 - Phosphorus implements the tree model
 - IDC implements the distributed model

Scaling 1: multiple NSPs

-NSPs are clients of the NRPS -A single NSP can do the E2E provisioning

→ Every domain can have its own, independent NSP











Bram Peeters, Inder Monga - 19

Topology and Path Computation (contd.)

- Represents some of biggest challenges
 - What topology distribution mechanism?
 - Do you trust neighboring domains?
 - How often is the topology exchange (hrs vs mts vs secs)
 - Trial-and-error probabilities seem high
 - Calculating IDC does not have any idea of schedules, just a snapshot of "near-current" utilization
 - Failures do not necessarily increase the probability of success
 - Inter-domain restoration model not addressed
 - Which domain is responsible for restoration?
 - How do you identify path failure so proper restoration steps can be initiated?
 - How to "discover" inter-domain connections
 - Manual configuration for domain edges
- Research areas
 - Publish summarized schedules along with current utilization
 - Ability to specify unconstrained paths (no start/end time, just total time)
 - Multi-layer

Path Computation



- The IDC's currently compute the desired path before reservation
- How about using IP forwarding methods for path computation?
 - Just go to next hop domain
 - Let each domain IDC determine which next domain to forward the signal to
 - Retries handled by intermediary domain adjacent to failure

Signaling protocol



- Web Services vs GMPLS signaling
 - Phosphorus standardizes on Web Services
 - DICE IDC supports both options
 - RSVP-TE or Web Services or both
- Should we standardize on one versus the other?
 - Policy enforcement at the inter-domain edge is a MUST
 - Both business and network policies
 - Flexibility of policy enforcement and richness of information exchange easier at the NSP/IDC layer
 - Propose we converge on WS for inter-domain negotiation
 - Intra-domain can be either TL1, CLI, GMPLS, WS, etc.

Path Management

SURF NET

- Path refreshes (inline or through WS) are proposed by DICE IDC
 - Seems like overkill to me
- Phosphorus brings down path based on schedule or end-user request
- Path failure detection mechanisms needed
 - Which domain?

Conclusions



- High degree of correlation in the diverse multidomain projects
 - Phosphorus, IDC, Autobahn, G-L/EL, DRAC/UvA
- Apply multi-domain topology abstraction concepts to the GOLE
- What next?
 - Share research experiences and agree on topology exchange, reserve messages and path compute model
 - Converge on an IDC implementation for a longer term "live" research testbed
 - Tackle failure models and restoration



THANK YOU!

For more information: bram.peeters at surfnet.nl imonga at nortel.com