GLIF Meeting, Seattle, USA

Ronald van der Pol <rvdp@sara.nl>

SARA

1-2 October 2008

Ronald van der Pol <rvdp@sara.nl>(SARA)

GLIF Meeting, Seattle, USA

1-2 October 2008 1 / 14

.∃ **) (** 3

< < >>

Outline



Introduction

- About the Global Identifiers Task Force
- Why Do We Need Global Identifiers?

Requirements

- Naming Schemes
 - DANTE Naming Scheme
 - Internet2 Naming Scheme
 - Sourcing Organisation Naming Scheme
 - URN Naming Scheme
- Naming Scheme Recommendation
 - **Discussion Issues**
 - Questions about Global ID Semantics
 - Resulting Operational Procedures for Lightpaths

Summary

- The task force was established during the GLIF meeting in Honolulu (January 2008).
- Members of the task force:
 - Ronald van der Pol, SARA (leader)
 - Lars Fisher, NORDUnet
 - Tom Lehman, USC ISI
 - Thomas Tam, Canarie

Why Do We Need Global Identifiers?

- Many GLIF lightpaths cross multiple domains.
- Network operators need a way to uniquely identify interdomain lightpaths.
 - during provisioning
 - when announcing planned work on a lightpath
 - during outages

• Current pratice is to use local domain identifiers in trouble tickets.

- Every domain uses different a name for the same lightpath
- Very time consuming and error prone to map foreign local identifiers to you own local identifiers
- Note: Global IDs do not replace local IDs.
 - Global IDs are just aliases
 - Each domain is free to continue to use its own local naming scheme internally

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Prefered format: DomainPart-LocalPart.
 - DomainPart: unique domain identifier
 - LocalPart: set by the domain to a unique string
- Centralized registries should be avoided.
- A maximum length of the identifier should be set.
- Well-defined character set.

The DANTE naming scheme is used in the LHCOPN and DEISA networks.

- Example: CERN-TRIUMF-LHCOPN-001
- Each domain has a unique identifier
- Each project has a unique identifier
- Global name consists of end site domains, project and sequence number

The Internet2 naming scheme is used in Internet2. The identifiers are called Global Resource Identifiers (GRIs).

- Example: dcn.internet2.edu-6811
- Initiating domain constructs the name by:
 - domain id: DNS style name of initiating domain
 - service instance id: unique number generated by initiating domain

This is a variant of the Internet2 naming scheme.

- Example: starlight:chi-van-42
- Sourcing organisation identifier instead of DNS style name as first part
- Sourcing organisation sets second part
- Second part is unique within the domain

Uniform Resource Names (URNs) are defined in RFC 2141. The format of URNs is:

<URN> ::= "urn:" <NID> ":" <NSS>

- Example: urn:glif:starlight:chi-van-42
- <NID> is set to glif
- <NSS> is similar to second part of Sourcing Organisation Naming Scheme

- Many people seem te prefer a naming scheme that includes information about the organisation that has more information about the lightpath.
- The Internet2, Sourcing Organisation and URN naming schemes comply with this.
- A discussion about the semantics of global identifiers has started.

- Hierarchy or flat namespace?
- Do we want information about end sites in the Global ID?
- Do we want information about sourcing organisation in the Global ID?
 - Useful during outages? (indicates who to contact, saves time?)
- Do we want information about a lookup service in the Global ID?
 - Or is a Global ID just a key for a lookup service?
- Central or distributed lookup service?
- Do GOLE operators need a lookup service?
 - Or is it just a key to their local information systems?

Resulting Operational Procedures for Lightpaths

- We need to start using the *Sourcing Organisation* concept.
- How do we handle tickets for planned work and outages? How about:
 - Domain that has the planned work or outage informs the sourcing organisation
 - Sourcing organisation informs all domains along the path and the end users
- When does a global identifier change? How about:
 - Rerouting does not change the global ID as long as the end-points stay the same
- Rerouting is:
 - A-B-C-D to A-E-C-D
 - Change in interface
 - change of link between two nodes

- There is an operational need for Global Identifiers.
- The Internet2 and URN like naming scheme seem to get most support.
- During provisioning we need to start following the Sourcing Organisation model.
- Next we need to work on operational procedures (planned work, outages).

Discussion